



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,744	10/18/2004	Anderson Joseph Ryan	056291-5184	6714

9629 7590 03/23/2007
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER

HUGHES, ALICIA R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1614

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/511,744	Applicant(s) RYAN, ANDERSON JOSEPH	
	Examiner Alicia R. Hughes	Art Unit 1614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5 pages</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-12 are pending and the subject of this Office Action.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over WO 01/74368 A1 [hereinafter referred to as “Davis, et al.”] in view of Harari, P., et al., *Radiation Response Modification Following Molecular Inhibition of Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Signaling*, Seminars in Radiation Oncology, vol. 11, no. 4, October 2001, pages 281-289 [hereinafter referred to as “Harari, et al.”]

Davis et al. teach a method for the production of vascular damaging effect and a method for the treatment of cancer in a warm-blooded animal which comprises the administration of an effective amount of ZD6126 or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof in a combination therapy before, after or simultaneously with an effective amount of a taxane, ionizing radiation or a platinum anti-tumor agent (Page 4, lines 20-25 – Page 6, lines 1-12; see also page 11, lines 29-31 and page 12, lines 1-30). Davis et al. also teach use of the same combinations in the manufacture of a medicament for use in the production of an anti-cancer effect or a vascular damaging effect in warm-blooded animals either with or without ionizing radiation (Page 10,

Art Unit: 1614

lines 1-29, page 11, lines 1-21; see also page 11, lines 29-31 and page 12, lines 1-30) and a pharmaceutical composition and a kit which comprises ZD6126 or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt and either a platinum anti-tumor agent or a taxane in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier (Page 8, lines 14-18 and lines 24-31; see also page 11, lines 29-31 and page 12, lines 1-30).

Harari et al teach ZD1839, well-known in the art as an anti-tumor agent for many cancers (Miyata, H. et al., *The Effects Of ZD1839 (Iressa), A Highly Selective EGFR Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor, As A Radiosensitiser In Bile Duct Carcinoma Cell Lines*, International Journal of Oncology, vol. 28, 2006 pages 915-921), as a small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor (page 282, col. 1, lines 29-41) that when combined with chemotherapy or selected chemotherapy agents has the capacity to enhance the cytotoxicity of radiation across a spectrum of human cancer cell lines (Page 283, col. 2, lines 16-26, page 284, and page 285, col. 1, lines 1-4).

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine the teachings of Davis et al with the teachings of Harari, et al., because the references teach overlapping subject matter, most notably, the treatment of cancer and cancer treatment-related conditions with chemotherapeutic and anti-cancer agents.

In light of the foregoing, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to apply the teachings of Harari et al. and Davis et al to the present invention, because ZD1839 is anti-tumor agent that when combined with other anti-cancer agents and/or ionizing radiation, effectively treats cancerous tumors through EGFR signal modulation and ZD6126 is known to produce vascular damaging effects and to treat cancer involving solid tumors. When used together, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the proliferation of cancers and their

Art Unit: 1614

associated tumors, would be diminished and vascular damaging effects enhanced through the combination therapy of ZD6126 and ZD1839 with ionizing radiation.

Absent any evidence to the contrary, in light of the foregoing, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine of ZD6126 and ZD1839 to treat cancer and enhance vascular damaging effects.

Conclusion


No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-6026. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached at 571-272-0718. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Public PAIR only. For information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct-uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

16 March 2007
ARH

 3/18/07
ARDIN H. MARSCHEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER